REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

98-01

Personal Services Contract

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

OF THE

MOTOR VEHICLE AND DRIVER LICENSING FUNCTIONS OF THE WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING

Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee April 10, 1998

Table of Contents

Section I	Introduction	
I-1	Purpose	4
I-2	Temporary Advisory Committee	
I-3	Overview of the Motor Vehicle and Driver License	
	Functions of the Department of Licensing	5
Section II	Statement of Work	
II-1	Services to be Provided	8
II-2	Scope of Work	
II-3	Compliance with Government Auditing Standards	13
II-4	Reference Documents	14
II-5	Reporting and Delivery Requirements	15
Section III	Offeror Qualifications	
III-1	Mandatory Minimum Qualifications	20
Section IV -	General Information	
IV-1	Definitions	22
IV-2	Compensation and Payment	22
IV-3	Expected Time Period for Contract	23
IV-4	Eligibility to Compete	23
Section V	Instructions for Completing and Submitting Proposals	
V-1	RFP Contact	24
V-2	Schedule of Procurement Activities	24
V-3	Submitting Proposals	25
V-4	Proposal Format and Content	26
V-5	Proprietary Information	29
V-6	Records Retention	30
V-7	Preparation and Travel Costs	31
V-8	Addenda to the RFP	31
V-9	Submission Limit	31
Section VI	Evaluation of Proposals	
VI-1	Evaluation Procedure	32

JLARC

Section VII	JLARC Rights	
VII-1	Proposal Rejections	34
	Contract Award	
	Publicity	
	Waivers	
VII-5	Joint Ventures	35
Section VIII	Miscellaneous Terms and Conditions	
VIII-1	Successful Offeror Notification	36
VIII-2	Right to Protest and Protest Procedures	36
	General Contract Terms and Conditions	
Exhibits		
A- Cer	tifications and Assurances	37
B- Let	ter of Intent to Bid	40
	ntract Form/General Terms and Conditions	

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

I-1 PURPOSE

This Request for Proposal (RFP) is issued by the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee (JLARC), a performance audit and program evaluation committee of the Washington State Legislature. The committee's mission is to make recommendations to the legislature and state agencies that result in cost savings and/or improved performance in state government.

Legislation passed during the 1997 session of the Washington State Legislature (ESSB 6061) directs JLARC to conduct performance audits of:

. . . the department of transportation, focusing on its responsibilities for the highway and ferry systems; the department of licensing, focusing on the processes for motor vehicle and driver licensing functions; and the Washington state patrol, focusing on law enforcement operations, communications systems, and technology requirements.

This RFP focuses on the motor vehicle and driver licensing functions of the Washington State Department of Licensing. Performance audits of the Washington State Department of Transportation Highways and Rail Programs, and the Washington State Ferry System have already been completed. JLARC staff will be conducting the performance audit of the Washington State Patrol concurrently with this audit.

The legislation directs that the performance audits "be conducted in accordance with government accounting standards prescribed by the comptroller general of the United States [and that they] be an objective and systematic assessment of the programs administered by the audited agencies, including each program's effectiveness, efficiency, and accountability." As such, the audit reports must include an attestation that the audit was conducted in accordance with applicable government auditing standards.

The legislation further directs JLARC to act as project manager for the audits and to contract with a consultant or consultants to conduct the audits.

I-2 TEMPORARY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The legislation referenced above also established a Temporary Advisory Committee for the performance audits, in part to provide input to JLARC on the scope and objectives for the different audits. The committee is comprised of executive committee members of JLARC and the Legislative Transportation Committee, with the State Auditor and the director of the state's Office of Financial Management serving as ex-officio members.

The committee has provided input concerning the scope of this audit. It will continue to meet as needed to fulfill its other mandates, including: receiving progress reports on the status of the audit; reviewing the preliminary and final audit reports; and facilitating communication of the audit findings to other members of the legislature.

I-3 OVERVIEW OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE AND DRIVER LICENSING FUNCTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING

The Department of Licensing (DOL) administers the motor vehicle and driver licensing functions in Washington State. The department's customers include not only the state's citizens, but also businesses and other governmental agencies. As just one example, the criminal justice system relies extensively on the information maintained by DOL for investigative and identification purposes.

<u>Organization:</u> The department is an executive branch agency, with its director being appointed by the Governor. Because DOL is considered a "transportation agency," along with the Washington State Department of Transportation and the Washington State Patrol, it is subject to ongoing legislative oversight by the Legislative Transportation Committee.

Organizationally, DOL is comprised of five divisions, four of which are pertinent to this audit: Driver Services, Vehicle Services, Information Services, and Management Services.¹ The first two are highlighted below:

Driver Services: Driver Services, with approximately 540 employees and a biennial budget of approximately \$69 million, licenses more than 3.7 million drivers in the state of Washington through 62 field offices and several travel units. It maintains records on over 5 million drivers and identification card holders, and provides over 2.7 million driving records to authorized individuals, insurance companies, employers, and law enforcement agencies.

¹ The fifth division, with approximately 200 full-time equivalent employees, is the Business and Professions Division.

The division is also responsible for promoting traffic safety through testing, driver awareness education, driver screening, and administration of licensing sanctions. It administers state laws relating to such issues as driving while intoxicated, implied consent, and financial responsibility, and ensures due process for drivers facing suspension, revocation, or restriction.

Vehicle Services: This division, with just over 300 full-time equivalent employees and a biennial budget of approximately \$56 million, has primary responsibility for titling, registering, and licensing over 5 million vehicle and 300,000 vessels per year. These services are provided primarily at 39 county auditor offices (agents) and approximately 160 contracted "subagent" offices throughout the state. These activities result in the collection of approximately \$1.9 billion per biennium in motor vehicle excise taxes and fees.

A major sub-section of this division is the Prorate and Fuel Tax Section, which is responsible for the collection of approximately \$1.9 billion per biennium in fuel tax revenues, truck taxes, and license fees. The activities of this section will be impacted by legislation (SHB 2659) which was enacted in the recently completed 1998 legislative session that implements a new fuel tax structure.

Recent Studies and Projects: There have been a number of recent studies or projects over the past several years that are of relevance to this audit. Two of the more significant and recent are highlighted below.

LAMP and BTAP: From 1992 until April 1997, DOL pursued a major information technology project intended to integrate its driver's licensing system with its vehicle title and registration systems. The project was known as the Licensing Application Migration Project, or LAMP. DOL viewed LAMP as a strategic project that would provide it with a technology platform on which to base strategic business improvements. In early 1997, the LAMP project was terminated by the state's Information Services Board after it was determined that development and ownership costs would exceed projected cost savings.

Following the termination of LAMP, the legislature appropriated funds for DOL to embark on what became known as the Business and Technology Assessment Project, or BTAP. DOL contracted with a consultant to conduct a study that had two major objectives: 1) conduct a *business assessment* that identifies strategic directions for the driver and vehicle licensing systems; and 2) conduct a *technology assessment* that reviews technology options to accomplish strategies and process improvements that are identified in the business assessment. The final report of the feasibility study was completed in March 1998.

Alternative Approaches to Delivering Agency Services: This study, which was mandated in the 1997 Transportation Budget, was completed in December 1997. Specifically, DOL was directed to

"... develop a proposal for implementing alternative approaches to delivering agency services to the public. The alternative approaches may include the use of credit card payment for telephone or use of the Internet for renewals of vehicle registration. The proposal shall also include collocated services for greater convenience to the public..."

Information pertaining to these and other reports/projects may be accessed electronically at the JLARC website (see Section II-4 for further information).

SECTION II

STATEMENT OF WORK

II-1 SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED

The Firm shall objectively and systematically examine the motor vehicle and driver licensing functions of the Department of Licensing, including the related services provided by the department's administrative and information services divisions, for the purpose of developing recommendations leading to reduced costs, increased effectiveness, and/or system improvements.

The Firm will be required to provide oral briefings and written reports to members and staff of JLARC. In addition, the Firm will be expected to meet with representatives of the Department of Licensing and with various stakeholders as needed.

II-2 SCOPE OF WORK

A. Specific Performance Audit Issues

At a minimum, the performance audit shall address the following specific issues:

1. Motor Vehicle Licensing

a. Identify material differences in the applicable laws, regulations, and fees in Washington as compared to those in other states, and generally assess the impact of those differences on the Department's overall workload and costs.

How do laws and regulations in Washington generally compare to those in other states? What features, if any, might be considered unique or unusual, and what impact do they have on workloads and costs? Are there potential statutory or regulatory changes that could result in greater efficiencies or reduced costs?

b. Evaluate the overall performance of the motor vehicle licensing system in Washington, focusing on customer service and cost-effectiveness.

Is the Department efficiently and effectively fulfilling its statutory responsibilities? How effective is the system in meeting the needs of its customers? How satisfied are the system's customers? What are appropriate performance

measures or industry standards, and how does Washington compare to other states on those measures or standards? What factors explain differences in performance levels?

c. Assess the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of the Department's use of county auditors and sub-agents in the motor vehicle licensing process.

Does the Department's use of county auditors (agents) and subagents provide for the most efficient and cost-effective method of service delivery? What are the criteria for selecting subagents? Does the Department have the optimum number of sub-agents, and are they located in optimum locations? Is the accuracy and quality of the work performed by sub-agents comparable to that performed by the Department's own employees? How are business-related costs allocated between the Department and the sub-agents? Do other states use a similar system of agents and sub-agents, and if so, are there material differences in how the programs operate?

d. Generally assess the efficiency and effectiveness of the Department's Prorate and Fuel Tax Division in carrying out its statutory responsibilities.²

Would moving the division to the Department of Revenue result in operational efficiencies, particularly in light of the recent passage of SHB 2659, which implements a new fuel tax structure? In what agency is the fuel tax collection function located in other states?

e. Review the Department's processes related to the granting of vehicle licensing exemptions for farm vehicles.

Does the Department appropriately screen requests for exemptions, and verify information received? Does it monitor compliance once an exemption has been granted?

² This division has primary responsibility for determining and collecting apportioned license fees for interstate commercial vehicles, fuel taxes, and excise taxes from licensed distributors for motor vehicle, aircraft and marine fuels used or distributed in the state of Washington, and auditing fuel tax accounts.

2. Driver Licensing

a. Identify material differences in the applicable laws and regulations in Washington as compared to those in other states, and generally assess the impact of those differences on the Department's overall workload and costs.

How do driver licensing laws and regulations in Washington generally compare to those in other states? What features, if any, might be considered unique or unusual, and what impact do they have on workloads and costs? Are there potential statutory or regulatory changes that could result in greater efficiencies or reduced costs?

b. Evaluate the overall performance of the driver licensing system in Washington, focusing on customer service and the day-to-day operations of the Licensing Services Offices.

Is the Department efficiently and effectively fulfilling its statutory responsibilities? How effective is the system in meeting the needs of its customers? How satisfied are the system's customers? What are appropriate performance measures or industry standards, and how does Washington compare to other states on those measures or standards? What factors explain differences in performance levels?

c. Assess the overall efficiency of the Department's Licensing Services Offices, including organization, staffing, and geographical dispersion.

Are the Licensing Services Offices staffed and organized in such a way as to achieve maximum efficiency and result in optimum customer satisfaction? Are there an appropriate number of Licensing Services Offices, and are they located in optimum locations?

d. Review, and as appropriate comment on, previous work done by and for the Department pertaining to alternative approaches to delivering services.

Have past reviews of alternative service delivery methods generally been adequate? (Examples of alternative service delivery methods previously examined include payment through credit card or electronic fund transfer, license renewals by telephone or via the internet, drive-up windows in Licensing Services Offices, renewal-only "express" offices, and collocation of facilities.) Are there particular alternatives which

should or should not be pursued further? What should be the relative priority among the different alternatives? Are there innovative programs operating in other states that should be considered for replication in Washington?

e. Assess the general effectiveness of the Hearings and Interviews Section of the Driver Services Division.

What are appropriate measures and targets for reviewing the performance of this office, and how does it fare when reviewed against those measures and targets?

3. Cross-Cutting Issues

a. Review and assess the Department's strategic planning documents and processes.

Are the Department's mission statement, vision statement, and goals consistent with legislative intent? Are they agreed upon by most system stakeholders? Are its performance objectives and measures appropriate and adequate? Are the performance measures used in requesting and evaluating budget proposals?

b. Conduct a limited review of the Department's data systems and organizational structure with respect to information services.

Is the existing organizational structure conducive to efficient operations? Could efficiencies or cost savings be achieved through integration of the existing vehicle and driver license data systems, or portions thereof?

c. Assess the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of the Department's capital and lease management program.

How effective has the Department been in securing necessary office and customer service facilities for the least possible cost?

d. Review the legislature's policy decision to encourage transportation agencies (including the Departments of Licensing and Transportation, and the Washington State Patrol) to collocate their facilities when possible in order to improve service delivery and achieve cost savings, and evaluate DOL's efforts in this area to date. Evaluate the Department's activities related to collocating its motor vehicle and driver licensing facilities with other related agencies.

How practical is the overall policy, and is it in the best interests of the state's citizens? What are the cost implications of collocation? How effective has the Department been in its collocation efforts to date? What particular factors, if any, have hindered collocation efforts? How is overhead split among agencies in existing collocation projects? Short of collocation, are there facility siting strategies the Department could follow, in concert with other agencies, to maximize customer convenience?

e. Assess how well the Department coordinates its motor vehicle and driver licensing functions with related programs and activities of the Department of Transportation and the Washington State Patrol.

Are there opportunities for improving customer service, or achieving either operational improvements or cost reductions through increased inter-agency coordination?

f. Assess the Department's practices and processes for allocating overhead and indirect agency costs among its various divisions and programs.

Are the Department's practices equitable?

B. General Performance Audit Issues

The original mandate for the transportation performance audits (ESSB 6061) included the following objectives, which were subsequently deleted by the Governor's veto. Nevertheless, they remain the original legislative intent. In addressing the specific audit issues referenced above, the following objectives shall also be addressed, *as appropriate*:

- 1. For each function, activity or program, identify associated costs and full-time equivalent staff;
- 2. Determine the extent to which the particular activity or function is specifically authorized in statute or is consistent with statutory direction and intent;
- 3. Consider whether the purpose for which the program was created is still valid based on the circumstances under which the program was created versus those that exist at the time of the audit;

- 4. Determine whether the function, activity, or program is achieving the results for which it was established;
- 5. Identify alternatives for delivering the program or service, either in the public or private sector;
- 6. Identify the costs or implications of not performing the function;
- 7. Determine the frequency with which other states perform similar functions, as well as their relative funding levels and performance;
- 8. Identify any duplication of services with other government programs or private enterprises or gaps in services;
- 9. In the event of inadequate performance by the program, identify the potential for a workable, affordable plan to improve performance;
- 10. Identify, to the extent possible, the causes of any program's failure to achieve the desired results and identify alternatives for reducing costs or improving service delivery, including transferring functions to other public or private sector organizations; and
- 11. Develop recommendations relating to statutes that inhibit or do not contribute to the agency's ability to perform its functions effectively and efficiently, and whether specific statutes, activities, or programs should be continued, abandoned, or restructured.

II-3 COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

As previously noted, it is required that the performance audit be conducted in accordance with applicable government auditing standards. It is the responsibility of the Firm to ensure that all such standards are adhered to. Offerors are directed to the publication *Government Auditing Standards*, 1994 Revision, by the Comptroller General of the United States, United States General Accounting Office – commonly referred to as the "Yellow Book."

Generally, the Yellow Book standards reflect no more than basic professionalism in conducting audits of this type. Because of their breadth and potential impact on other audit activities and issues, three areas deserve special mention:

- *Working Papers*: See Section II-5c. for specific requirements.
- <u>Assessing Management Controls</u>: "Management controls" are the tools used by management to ensure its goals are met. They include

items such as policies and procedures, planning processes, and staffing practices. The standards require that those conducting an audit obtain an understanding of the management controls that are relevant to the audit.

• Assessing the Reliability and Validity of Computer Data: If computer data are significant to an audit's findings and conclusions, it is required that they be tested to validate their reliability.

II-4 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The following is a non-exhaustive listing of various reports, studies, and other pieces of information that are relevant to this study, either directly or as background information. Many of these can be accessed electronically at the JLARC website:

http://www.leg.wa.gov.www/lbc/

For those that are not available electronically at this time, JLARC will endeavor to provide hard copies upon request.

- Strategic Initiatives Plan, DOL, December 1996
- Alternative Approaches to Delivering Agency Services, DOL, December 1997
- Business and Technology Assessment Feasibility Study Final Report,
 Dye Management Group Inc., March 1998
- Excerpts From the RFP for the "Business and Technology Assessment, Feasibility Study and Implementation Strategy," DOL, September 1997 (In addition to providing an overview of the BTAP project, Appendix A of this document provides excellent background information on both DOL and the LAMP project.)
- Transportation Agencies' Facilities Review, Legislative Transportation Committee, October 1997
- Taking the Title and Registration Process to the Customer, DOL, January 1991 (Mandated by statute in 1989, this study represented the first thorough examination of the title and registration process in over 50 years.)
- SHB 2659, Final Bill Report (relating to fuel tax collections)
- Government Auditing Standards, 1994 Revision

II-5 REPORTING AND DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS

a. Work Plan. All work shall be in accordance with an approved work plan:

- (1) Within twenty (20) calendar days after the award date of the contract, the Firm shall develop a final work plan to meet the work requirements in Sections II-1 and II-2 of this RFP, and any changes necessary based on additional information or input provided by JLARC staff. The work plan shall include:
 - The specific tasks and sub-tasks to be performed;
 - The expected duration and level of effort in hours by person;
 - The specific data that will be needed, along with data sources:
 - A schedule for performing the tasks;
 - The milestone dates; and
 - The management, supervisors, staff, and affiliates assigned to the tasks.
- (2) The final work plan is subject to approval by the Legislative Auditor. Any subsequent changes shall also require approval by the Legislative Auditor.
- **b. Deliverables.** Major deliverables will be due according to the following schedule:

Wednesday	On or about June 10, 1998	Entrance conference
Monday	On or about June 15, 1998	Final work plan
Monday	November 16, 1998	Draft preliminary report and supporting working papers
Friday	December 4, 1998	Preliminary report (final version) and briefing package
Wednesday	January 6, 1999	Final report and briefing package

- (1) A work plan as specified at Section II-5a.
- (2) An entrance conference with DOL representatives, to be coordinated by JLARC staff.
- (3) Bi-weekly progress reports as specified in Section II-5d.
- (4) No later than November 16, 1998, the Firm shall deliver to the JLARC office a *draft* preliminary report as specified in

Section II-5f, and supporting working papers as specified in Section II-5c.. The draft report will be provided to DOL staff for purposes of *technical review* and comment. JLARC staff will also participate in the technical review process, and will be responsible for reviewing the working papers.

- (5) An exit conference (or conferences) with DOL, to be coordinated by JLARC staff, for the purpose of explaining and promoting understanding and use of the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, and understanding DOL's response to them.
- (7) No later than December 4, 1998, the Firm shall deliver the final version of the preliminary report to the JLARC office as specified in Section II-5f. The final version of the preliminary report should incorporate all changes made necessary, as determined jointly by the Firm and JLARC staff, as a result of the technical review process.
- (8) No later than December 4, 1998, the Firm shall deliver to the JLARC office a draft briefing package (text, video, slides, and other materials and exhibits, if applicable) to be used for presentation purposes.
- (9) No later than January 6, 1999, the Firm shall deliver to the JLARC office the final audit report as specified in Section II-5f, and a copy of all audit working papers. This final report should incorporate any changes deemed necessary, as determined jointly by the Firm and JLARC described in Section II-5f through h.
- (10) No later than January 6, 1999, the Firm shall deliver to the JLARC office the final briefing package.

c. Working Paper Requirements

- (1) The Firm shall obtain and document in writing sufficient, competent evidential matter to support the performance audit specified in this RFP.
- (2) In order to facilitate reviews of working papers by JLARC staff, the Firm shall exercise strict control over the preparation and maintenance of working papers.
- (3) Working papers are to be organized, numbered, and cross-referenced in a logical manner to enable easy traversing between the work plan, working paper sections, conclusions, findings, and source documents.
- (4) The working papers resulting from this performance audit shall be owned by JLARC and shall be retained for a period of at least six years or until any claim brought under this RFP is settled. The Firm may retain a copy of working papers supporting this performance audit.
- (5) The performance audit report and working papers supporting it are confidential until the preliminary report is officially released to the public by JLARC. Prior to the official release of the report, working paper access shall be limited to JLARC staff and the Firm.

d. Progress Reporting

The Firm shall provide JLARC bi-weekly reports outlining the following:

- A summary of any preliminary findings or issues of significance;
- Risks or issues which might affect the schedule or level of effort as planned and their required resolution time frames;
- Progress against planned tasks and activities for the particular reporting period;
- The specific accomplishments achieved during the reporting period, including a listing of persons contacted or interviewed and documents reviewed;
- Planned tasks and activities for the next reporting period, including anticipated contacts and research plans;

- Disposition of previously reported risks or issues;
- Proposed revisions to the work plan, specifically noting any suggested changes in schedule or assumptions. (Note: as indicated in Section II-5a, actual revisions to the work plan must be approved by the Legislative Auditor.)

The progress reports, which may be filed electronically, shall be organized into narrative language that is clear, concise, and structured to be easily understood by a third party.

e. Exit Conferences

JLARC staff shall arrange one or more exit conferences between the Firm and appropriate DOL representatives.

f. Preliminary and Final Reports

The preliminary and final reports should synthesize findings, conclusions, and recommendations resulting from a thorough and cumulative assessment of all completed work described in Section II. Findings and conclusions must be clearly documented as resulting from field work and analysis done for this study. Recommendations must be logically related to, and supported by, the findings and conclusions, be limited to substantive matters, and recognize practical and fiscal constraints. Recommendations should also be formatted so that they include a proposed implementation date, a brief statement of fiscal impact (i.e., cost savings which are expected to result, or additional costs which may be incurred), and a statement as to whether implementation will require legislative changes.

The reports must include an attestation that the audit work was conducted in accordance with applicable government auditing standards.

The Firm shall be required to follow general guidance provided by JLARC staff as to the format and length of reports and briefings, as well as the level of detail appropriate for intended audiences. (Samples of JLARC work plans and reports are available upon request to the RFP Coordinator.)

At such time as the *preliminary* report is formally presented to JLARC, it will be made public. It will also be transmitted to the

agency (DOL) and the Office of Financial Management (OFM) for formal comment.

The agency and OFM responses will be incorporated into the *final* report. JLARC audit protocols provide for the committee to consider and adopt the proposed final report in a public meeting. At that time, if the committee wishes to make any statement itself, or convey its own recommendations, the committee will act by motion to do so. This becomes an addendum to the audit report but does not affect or change the Firm's findings, conclusions, or recommendations.

g. Agency/OFM Responses and Firm's Comments

The agency and OFM will be requested to respond in writing to the preliminary report within 20 days of its transmittal to them. If any changes result from the exit conference or written responses, or are considered necessary to otherwise respond to questions or comments on the preliminary report, the Firm shall be required to make revisions as necessary in the final report. The Firm shall comment on agency and OFM responses in the final report.

h. Public Presentations and Comment

The Firm will be expected to make at least three oral presentations to legislative committees (including, but not limited to, JLARC) in public meetings. Up to three additional presentations could also be required. Public comment may be taken at any of these meetings. As appropriate, the Firm may need to provide follow-up on questions or comments raised at these meetings.

SECTION III

OFFEROR QUALIFICATIONS

III-1 MANDATORY MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

Offerors must satisfy <u>all</u> of the following mandatory minimum qualifications as outlined below in order to be considered for the contract award:

- a. The Offeror must accept the written contract as supplied by JLARC. It is therefore recommended that the Offeror seek the approval of its legal representative as to the contract format set forth as Exhibit "C" prior to submittal of a proposal. (Note: Depending on unusual or unforeseen circumstances, some individual items may be negotiable.)
- b. The Offeror must agree to provide the minimum services as detailed in Section II, "Statement of Work," as well as all other requirements stated in the RFP.
- c. The Offeror must provide assurance that the key professionals and/or the organization must not have, nor could they potentially have, a material conflict of interest with DOL or JLARC, or any personal, external, or organizational impairments as described in the *Government Auditing Standards*, 1994 Revision, as issued by the United States General Accounting Office.
- d. The Offeror should demonstrate a combination of proficiency in conducting performance audits, or other large-scale, comparable studies of agencies such as DOL; and experience in, and substantial knowledge of, motor vehicle and driver licensing functions and systems.
- e. The Offeror should have demonstrated expertise and experience in the following specific areas:
 - (1) The review and analysis of agency operations, organization, management, performance, and budgets;
 - (2) Data and technology systems/requirements pertinent to statewide motor vehicle and driver licensing systems;
 - (3) Agency capital planning and program management practices;

- (4) The formulation of policy options and the development of recommendations, based on solid findings, that are intended to lead to improved agency performance, cost reductions, and/or increased compliance and accountability;
- (5) Public sector administrative, operating, and policy-making environment;
- (6) The preparation and presentation of performance audits, or other comparable studies, that are intended for public sector decision makers.

SECTION IV

GENERAL INFORMATION

IV-1 DEFINITIONS

"Offeror" or "Firm" means an entity intending to submit or submitting a proposal for the project.

"Apparently Successful Offeror(s)" or "Apparently Successful Firm(s)" means the offeror(s) or firm(s) selected by the Legislative Auditor as the most qualified entity to perform the stated services.

"Legislative Auditor" means the chief executive officer of JLARC.

"JLARC" means the Washington State Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee.

'RFP" means this Request for Proposal, any *addendum* or *erratum* thereto, offerors' written questions and the respective answers, and any related correspondence that is: 1) addressed to all offerors, and 2) signed by the Legislative Auditor.

"DOL" or "Agency" or "Department" means the Washington State Department of Licensing.

Contract definitions are provided in Exhibit C.

IV-2 COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT

The compensation for the duration of this contract shall be fixed from the fee included in the Offeror's proposal. This fixed compensation shall include all costs, including all travel for up to three oral presentations in the Olympia/Seattle area to legislative committees.

Invoices shall be submitted to:

Robert Krell, Principal Management Auditor Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee 506 16th Avenue SE Olympia, WA 98501-2323

Progress billing will be allowed after completion of 25, 50, 75, and 100 percent of the contract. The Firm must demonstrate through progress reporting that the invoiced percentage of work is complete and must certify to this percentage of completion.

Payment for each billing shall be made by JLARC to the Firm after JLARC has determined that the progress of the project and the quality of the work is satisfactory. This determination will include consideration of the progress of the project in comparison to the work plan. If JLARC determines that the Firm has not made satisfactory progress in accordance with the work plan, it may withhold payment until the necessary work is completed to its satisfaction.

IV-3 EXPECTED TIME PERIOD FOR CONTRACT

The period of contract performance shall be from June 9, 1998, through April 31, 1999.

IV-4 ELIGIBILITY TO COMPETE

Any firm which, either as a parent company, subsidiary, or affiliate, is currently performing consulting services for DOL may **not** compete in this procurement. The Firm, or any subsidiary, affiliate, or parent company thereof, selected as a result of this procurement may **not** during the term of the resulting contract, and any extensions thereto, compete in any subsequent DOL procurement, the main purpose of which is to hire a firm or firms for consulting purposes, or otherwise contract with DOL.

SECTION V

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING AND SUBMITTING PROPOSALS

V-1 RFP CONTACT

The RFP Coordinator listed below is the sole point of contact for this RFP, except as expressly authorized by the Legislative Auditor. Throughout the duration of the procurement process, all communications are to be directed to the contact listed below. Contact with other JLARC or DOL staff after issuance of this RFP, except as expressly authorized by the Legislative Auditor, will disqualify the Offeror.

Robert Krell Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee 506 16th Avenue SE Olympia, WA 98501-2323

Telephone: (360) 786-5182 FAX: (360) 786-5180

E-mail: krell_ro@leg.wa.gov

Web: http://www.leg.wa.gov/www/lbc/

The RFP Coordinator may require that inquiries be put in writing.

"Letter of Intent to Bid," in the format of Exhibit B, must be received at the JLARC office by April 24, 1998, at 4:30 p.m. Pacific Daylight Time.

Offerors who submit the "Letter of Intent to Bid" prior to the deadline will be sent any modifications of the RFP and a written record of additional substantive information provided to prospective offerors (such as responses to telephone inquiries). Inquiries concerning clarification of some aspect of the RFP will be accepted beginning April 13, 1998. No inquiries about the RFP will be accepted after April 24, 1998.

Firms who either choose not to submit a "Letter of Intent to Bid" or fail to meet the deadline for its submittal may submit a proposal in response to this RFP, but must do so without the benefit of the information referenced in the preceding paragraph.

V-2 SCHEDULE OF PROCUREMENT ACTIVITIES

The following schedule of activities must be adhered to by all Offerors. Offerors mailing proposals should allow normal mail delivery time to

ensure timely receipt of their proposals by the RFP Coordinator listed in Section V-1 of this RFP.

<u>Event</u>	<u>Date</u>
Mail RFP to Offerors/make available on Internet	April 10, 1998
Offeror's questions and letters of intent to bid due	April 24, 1998
Mail record of answers to questions received	May 1, 1998
Proposal due date	May 11, 1998
Proposal evaluations completed	May 18, 1998
Finalist oral interviews	May 21, 1998
Announcement of Apparently Successful Offeror(s)	May 26, 1998
Contract begins	June 9, 1998

V-3 SUBMITTING PROPOSALS

Submittal of ten (10) copies of the proposal is required. One copy must have original signatures and nine copies can have photocopied signatures. One of the ten copies must be **unbound** (one of the copies with photocopied signatures).

The unbound copy must be marked "Copy for Reproduction," and must be formatted as follows: (a) no divider sheets or tabs; (b) text only on one side of the sheet of paper (*i.e.*, no double-sided pages); and (c) those pages containing "confidential" information **removed**, but a cover index for this copy attached bearing the Offeror's name setting forth which page(s) has (have) been removed because they contained "confidential" information.

Ten (10) copies of the proposal (including the unbound copy) shall be delivered to the following address by 4:30 p.m., Pacific Daylight Time, May 11, 1998:

Robert Krell Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee 506 16th Avenue SE Olympia, WA 98501-2323

Offerors mailing proposals should allow normal mail delivery time to ensure timely receipt of their proposals by the RFP Coordinator. Proposals

may not be transmitted using electronic media such as facsimile transmission. Notwithstanding the provisions of RCW 1.12.070, postmarks will not be considered as date received for the purposes of this RFP. Late proposals will not be accepted, nor will time extensions be granted.

The outside of the proposal packaging is to clearly identify the RFP being responded to.

All proposals and accompanying documentation become the property of the JLARC and **will not be returned**.

V-4 PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT

Proposals should be prepared on 8½ x 11 inch paper; however, 11 x 14 inch paper is permissible for charts, spreadsheets, etc.

All of the conditions set forth in this section must be included and addressed thoroughly and completely by the Offeror before JLARC will accept a response to this RFP.

The proposal should consist of seven main sections: 1) Executive Summary, 2) General Information, 3) Technical Proposal, 4) Management Proposal, 5) Experience of the Offeror, 6) Cost Proposal, and 7) "Certifications and Assurances" form (Exhibit A). Section 3 shall not exceed 20 single-spaced pages, and the remaining sections together shall not exceed 20 single-spaced pages. Proposals shall be in sufficient detail to permit evaluation and shall include tabs separating the individual sections (except for the unbound copy). Please keep any attachments to a minimum.

SECTION 1: Executive Summary

Please set forth a stand-alone executive summary of the contents of your Firm's proposal, including all the subsection topics set forth therein. Take particular care in its preparation, for if your Firm is selected as a finalist, this document may be used as a guide in conducting an oral interview. **DO NOT** structure it in the format of a reference to sections of your Firm's overall proposal.

SECTION 2: General Information

Please provide the material specified below. If the proposal includes a subcontract or joint venture, the Offeror must submit specific information for each subcontractor or joint venture member.

- a. Name, mailing address, phone, and FAX number of legal entity with whom the contract is to be written.
- b. Name, mailing address, phone, and FAX number of primary contact.
- c. Name, mailing address, and phone number(s) of principal officer(s).
- d. Legal status of organization (e.g., sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, etc.).
- e. Federal employer identification number.
- f. Washington State Department of Revenue Registration Number (UBI number), if applicable.
- g. The location of the facility from which the Offeror will operate.
- h. If the Offeror or anyone associated with the Offeror has been an employee of the state of Washington during the past two years, indicate his/her job title, agency by which employed, and separation date.
- i. If the Offeror or anyone associated with the Offeror contracted with the state of Washington during the past two years, identify the agency and contract number and briefly describe the contract.

SECTION 3: Technical Proposal

The technical proposal should respond to each specific performance audit issue that is shown in boldface type in Section II-2 A, specifically: 1 a-e; 2 a-e; and 3 a-f. It is not required that the technical proposal specifically address each individual question listed in regular type under the various audit issues. The technical proposal should state the approaches, methods, and criteria to be used by the Firm for accomplishing the Statement of Work as outlined in Section II of this RFP. The Firm may reorder or regroup the audit issues to be addressed in order to best present its approach. The technical proposal should not be simply a restatement of the audit issues listed in Section II-2.

The proposal should include a comprehensive work plan describing the tasks, sub-tasks, level of effort, schedule, methodologies, specific data that will be needed, data sources, and any related coordination work by JLARC staff in aid of the Firm's work. The proposal should also identify any risks the Firm perceives in accomplishing the statement of work within schedule and budget, as well as appropriate contingency plans.

SECTION 4: Management Proposal

Describe how your Firm proposes to organize, manage, control, and report on the status of the project. Identify all critical milestones.

Include an organization chart indicating the lines of authority for all personnel involved in performance of the potential contract. Indicate who within the Offeror's organization will have final authority for the work.

Identify the staff who will be assigned to the project. Discuss their responsibilities and amount of time devoted to the project by task or audit issue area. Succinctly state their qualifications and relevant experience. Attach resumes. [Note: As attachments, staff resumes will not count against the specified page limitations. Care should be taken, however, to keep resumes as concise as possible.]

Identify the staff who will conduct on-site field work in Washington and how much time they will spend in the state on this project.

Note: The Offeror must commit that the staff identified in the proposal will actually be assigned to this project. Any substitution must be approved by JLARC.

SECTION 5: Experience of the Offeror

Indicate the Offeror's experience relevant to this project. Highlight the Offeror's experience with legislative or other public policy-making bodies.

List major contracts or other employment history during the last five years that relate to the Offeror's ability to perform the services called for under this RFP. If appropriate, include the contract numbers, period of performance, contact persons, and telephone numbers.

Indicate if the Offeror within the last five years has had a contract terminated for default, defined as notice to stop performance. Provide full details, including the other party's name, address, and telephone number.

The Firm selected will be expected to produce professional quality written and oral reports that meet JLARC standards for analysis and that clearly document the basis for findings, conclusions, and recommendations. Please indicate any relevant experience and, if possible, provide a sample of work that demonstrates the Offeror's expertise in this area.

SECTION 6: Cost Proposal

JLARC intends to enter into a fixed-term contract. The services detailed in Section II of this RFP are the basis for the Offeror's fee. The fee for work performed shall be a fixed fee for the requested services and all travel, including up to three oral presentations in the Olympia/Seattle area to legislative committees or the Technical Review Advisory Committee.

The fixed-price cost proposal must include a fully detailed budget with staff costs and non-staff costs necessary to accomplish the tasks and to produce the deliverables. The staff must be identified by name, hourly rate, number of hours devoted to the project, and type of work.

JLARC may request the Firm to make more than three oral presentations in the Olympia/Seattle area to legislative committees or the Temporary Advisory Committee. Offerors should separately specify staff costs associated with each additional presentation. Travel expenses associated with additional presentations will be reimbursed at rates established by the Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM).

SECTION 7: Certifications and Assurances Form

The Certifications and Assurances form, Exhibit A, must be signed by the president or chief executive officer of a corporation, the managing partner of a partnership, the proprietor of a sole proprietorship, or all members of a joint venture included in the Offeror's proposal.

V-5 PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

All proposals received shall be public records but shall remain confidential until the successful Offeror(s) resulting from this RFP, if any, is announced by the Legislative Auditor. Thereafter, proposals shall be available for public inspection and copying as required by Chapter 42.17 RCW (the principal Washington State statute pertaining to accessibility to public records) except as exempted in that chapter by other law or JLARC's policies and procedures. Offerors are advised that the permissible exemptions from public disclosure pursuant to Chapter 42.17 RCW are very narrow in scope and will be strictly construed. In the event that an Offeror desires to claim portions of its proposal as exempt from disclosure under the provisions of the aforementioned RCW, it is incumbent upon that Offeror to clearly identify those portions in a proposal transmittal letter. The transmittal letter must identify the page and particular exemption(s) from disclosure upon which it is making its claim. Further, each page claimed to be exempt must be clearly identified by the word "CONFIDENTIAL" printed on the lower right-hand corner of the page. Designating the entire proposal as confidential is not acceptable and will not be honored.

If an official request is made to view an Offeror's proposal, the Legislative Auditor shall respond in accordance with RCW 42.17.250 *et seq*. If any of the specifically requested information is marked as "confidential" in the proposal, but in the opinion of the Legislative Auditor does not conform to any one of the enumerated exemptions from disclosure, such information will not be made available until three (3) business days after the affected Offeror has been given telephonic notice that the information has been requested. If the affected Offeror has undertaken proceedings to obtain a court order restraining the Legislative Auditor from disclosure of the "confidential" information requested within those three (3) days, the Legislative Auditor will not disclose such information until resolution of the court proceeding. Upon failure to make application for judicial relief within the allowed period, the information will be disclosed.

NOTE: The proposal of the successful Offeror(s) will be attached to the resulting contract and incorporated therein by that attachment. Therefore, as part of a public state agency contract, the *entirety* of the successful Offeror(s)' proposal will be subject to public disclosure regardless of any claim of confidentiality or previously applicable statutory exemption. Nevertheless, should a successful Offeror obtain a court order from a Washington State court of competent jurisdiction prohibiting disclosure of parts of its proposal prior to the execution of the contract incorporating the same, the Legislative Auditor shall comply with the court order. The burden is upon a successful Offeror to evaluate and anticipate its need to maintain confidentiality and to proceed accordingly. Timeliness will be of the essence; a delay in execution of the contract to accommodate a petition to the courts will not be allowed.

V-6 RECORDS RETENTION

After the date of the announcement of the Apparently Successful Offeror(s), the Legislative Auditor will retain one master copy of each proposal received for a period of six years or until any claim brought under this RFP is settled.

V-7 PREPARATION AND TRAVEL COSTS

JLARC will not be liable for any costs associated with preparation and presentation of a proposal submitted in response to this RFP.

The Offeror assumes responsibility for its personnel's travel and associated costs as they relate to the bidding on this project. These costs must be considered in the bid cost of the proposal.

V-8 ADDENDA TO THE RFP

In the event it becomes necessary to revise any part of this RFP, an *addendum* or *erratum* will be provided to all Offerors who have indicated an intention to submit a proposal.

V-9 SUBMISSION LIMIT

After submission, Offerors will not be allowed to amend the proposal. Responses consisting solely of marketing material are not acceptable and will be rejected.

SECTION VI

EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

VI-1 EVALUATION PROCEDURE

Proposals will be reviewed and evaluated by a panel selected by JLARC staff. Written submittals, subsequently requested materials, and oral presentations, if any, will be used in selecting the winning proposal. After initial evaluations, the Legislative Auditor may select a small group of Offerors as finalists for oral presentations to the evaluation panel. The Legislative Auditor reserves the right, at her sole discretion, to reject any and all proposals received without penalty. Subject to the approval of the JLARC Executive Committee, the final selection, if any, will be that proposal which, in the opinion of the Legislative Auditor after review of all submissions by the evaluation panel, best and sufficiently meets the requirements set forth in the RFP and is in the best interest of the citizens of Washington.

The selection will be accomplished through the following process:

- a. Initially, the JLARC office will review each proposal for compliance with minimum mandatory requirements as stipulated within the RFP. Proposals found non-compliant will be rejected from further consideration. Proposals not rejected will then be evaluated using the criteria outlined in Section VI-1.b.
- b. The evaluation panel will evaluate the acceptable proposals and assign numeric scores based on the following weights:

Technical Proposal	40%
Management Proposal	20%
Offeror's Expertise	20%
Cost Proposal	20%

Note: From the original amount of funds provided for the performance audit of transportation agencies for state Fiscal Years 1997-1999, the Legislative Auditor has allocated \$330,000 for the scope of work and services to be provided that are specified in this RFP.

JLARC reserves the right to ask for resubmittals of proposals by finalists, as determined by the Legislative Auditor. Finalists in the RFP process may be asked to make oral presentations in Olympia to the evaluation panel and/or JLARC regarding their proposal. If finalists are asked to make an oral presentation, the final selection of the successful Offeror may be based

on a combination of the evaluation of the written RFP and the oral presentation.

SECTION VII

JLARC RIGHTS

VII-1 PROPOSAL REJECTIONS

Determination of clarity and completeness in the responses to any of the provisions in this RFP will be made solely by the Legislative Auditor. The Legislative Auditor reserves the right to require clarification, additional information, and materials in any form relative to any or all of the provisions or conditions of this RFP.

The Legislative Auditor reserves the right to reject any or all proposals at any time prior to the execution of a contract acceptable to the Legislative Auditor, without any penalty to the Legislative Auditor.

VII-2 CONTRACT AWARD

The Legislative Auditor intends to award the contract to the Offeror(s) with the best combination of attributes based on the evaluation criteria listed in Section VI of this RFP.

Should the Legislative Auditor fail to negotiate a contract with the Apparently Successful Offeror(s), the Legislative Auditor reserves the right to negotiate and contract with the next most qualified Offeror(s). The Legislative Auditor also reserves the right to contract with a joint venture. Accordingly, the Offeror may freely join with other persons or organizations for the purpose of presenting a single proposal. (See Section VII-5, below).

VII-3 PUBLICITY

No informational pamphlets, notices, press releases, research reports, and/or similar public notices concerning this project may be released by the Apparently Successful Offeror(s) without obtaining prior written approval from the Legislative Auditor.

VII-4 WAIVERS

The Legislative Auditor reserves the right to waive specific terms and conditions contained in this RFP.

It shall be understood by Offerors that the proposal is predicated upon acceptance of all terms and conditions contained in this RFP unless the Offeror has obtained such a waiver, in writing, from the Legislative Auditor prior to submission of the proposal.

Any waiver granted, prior to formal negotiation with the Apparently Successful Offeror, will be granted to all Offerors.

VII-5 JOINT VENTURES

The Offeror may submit a proposal in conjunction with other Offerors as a joint venture. A joint venture is defined as an association of two or more firms formed for the specific purpose of submitting a bid on a specific project. A copy of the joint venture agreement shall be submitted with the proposal and shall specify the name under which the joint venture will operate. The agreement must also include the names of those individuals authorized to sign proposals, contracts, and other documents on behalf of the joint venture. It shall contain provisions which will unequivocally bind the parties, jointly and severally, to any contract entered into thereunder.

SECTION VIII

MISCELLANEOUS TERMS AND CONDITIONS

VIII-1 SUCCESSFUL OFFEROR NOTIFICATION

On or about the date specified in Section V-2, "Schedule of Procurement Activities," of this RFP, a letter indicating whether the Offeror was selected as "the Apparently Successful Offeror" will be mailed to each Offeror who submitted a proposal in accordance with the procedures specified in this RFP.

An unsuccessful Offeror may request a debriefing conference within seven days after the date of the notification letter. The debriefing conference shall be limited to a critique of the unsuccessful Offeror's proposal compared with the successful proposal.

VIII-2 RIGHT TO PROTEST AND PROTEST PROCEDURES

Any protest will be handled by the following procedure, which constitutes the sole administrative remedy available to Offerors:

- Protests must be preceded by a debriefing conference and be submitted within seven (7) days after the conference.
- All protests must be written, signed by the protesting party, addressed to the RFP Coordinator, state all facts and arguments, and provide all documents upon which the protest is based.
- If the protest affects the interest of another Offeror, that Offeror shall be given the opportunity to respond with any information relevant to the protest.
- The JLARC Executive Committee will review all protests and make the final decision.

VIII-3 GENERAL CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Exhibit C of this RFP contains the contract form, including general terms and conditions that the JLARC office will use in the final negotiated contract with the successful Offeror(s).

Exhibit A Certifications And Assurances

The Offeror makes the following certifications and assurances as a required element of the proposal to which it is included, understanding that the truthfulness of the facts affirmed here and the continuing compliance with these requirements are conditions precedent to the award and continuation of the contract resulting from this RFP. Any exceptions to these certifications and assurances must be described in full detail on a separate page(s) and attached to this document. The Legislative Auditor reserves the right to determine if such exception is substantive and a basis for disqualification.

1. INDEPENDENT PREPARATION AND COST DETERMINATION

- X Prices and/or cost data have been arrived at independently, without consultation, communications, or agreement with any other Offeror or with any competitor for the purpose of restricting competition.
- X Unless otherwise required by law, the prices and/or cost data submitted have not knowingly been disclosed by the Offeror and will not knowingly be disclosed by the Offeror, prior to award, directly or indirectly to any other Offeror or to any competitor.
- X No attempt has been made or will be made by the Offeror to induce any other person or Firm to submit or not submit a proposal for the purpose of restricting competition.
- X In preparing this proposal, assistance has not been provided by any current or former employee of the state of Washington whose duties relate (or did relate) to this proposal and who was assisting in other than his or her official, public capacity. Neither does such a person nor any member of his or her immediate family have any financial interest in the outcome of this proposal. Any exceptions to these assurances are described in full detail on a separate page and attached to this document.

NOTE: In order to ensure fair and equal competition between all Offerors, the development of proposals with the assistance of organizations or individuals outside the Offeror's organization must be declared in the proposal and the name(s) of such organizations or individuals provided. Failure to acknowledge such assistance and to identify those providing it may result in the disqualification of the proposal. No contingent fees for such assistance will be allowed to be paid under any contract or grant resulting from this RFP. HOWEVER, the Offeror may freely join with other persons or organizations for the purpose of presenting a single proposal.

2. PRICE WARRANT

The costs quoted for services in response to this RFP do not exceed those charged any other client for the same services.

3. NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The Offeror certifies that no condition exists with respect to the Offeror, or any of its employees, regarding any current or past relationship with the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee or the Washington State Department of Licensing that violates Chapter 42.52 RCW, AEthics in Public Service.≅

4. PROPOSAL EVALUATION PERIOD

The attached proposal is a firm offer for a period of 120 days following receipt by the JLARC office and may be accepted by the Legislative Auditor without further negotiation at any time within the 120-day period.

5. CONTACTING REFERENCES

JLARC is hereby granted permission to contact any references or past contracting clients listed in response to this RFP.

6. PREPARATION COSTS

The Offeror understands that JLARC will not provide reimbursement for any costs incurred in the preparation of this proposal. It is further understood that JLARC reserves the right to reject all proposals and make no contract award.

7. COMPLIANCE WITH CHAPTER 39.29 REVISED CODE OF WASHINGTON

The Offeror certifies that no condition exists which would result in noncompliance with Chapter 39.29 RCW, Personal Services Contracts.

The Offeror understands that a firm that knowingly violates this chapter in seeking or performing work under a personal services contract shall be subject to a civil penalty of \$300 or 25 percent of the amount of the contract.

JLARC

The person signing below warrants that all of the foregoing certifications and assurances are true to the best of their knowledge after reasonable efforts to obtain the truth.

Firm Name (typed)
Legal Name (typed)
Address (typed)
Chief Executive Officer, Name (typed)
Chief Executive Officer, Signature
Chief Executive Officer, Title (typed)
Date

Exhibit B Letter Of Intent To Bid

On behalf of my firm, I hereby certify that we intend to submit a proposal to provide performance audit services to the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee. I have read the Request for Proposal for the procurement of these services and accept the conditions set forth therein.

Firm Name (typed)
Legal Name (typed)
Address (typed)
Chief Executive Officer, Name (typed)
Chief Executive Officer, Signature
Chief Executive Officer, Title (typed)

This letter of Intent to Bid must be received by the Joint Legislative Audit and Review

Committee by 4:30 p.m., April 24, 1998, Pacific Daylight Time. It may be mailed or faxed to:

Robert Krell, RFP Coordinator Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee 506 16th Avenue SE Olympia, WA 98501-2323

FAX: (360) 786-5180

PLEASE NOTE: If you submit this form and later decide not to submit a proposal, please notify us by telephone at (360) 786-5171. Thank you.

Exhibit C Contract Form/General Terms and Conditions

PERSONAL SERVICE CONTRACT FOR THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE AND DRIVER LICENSING FUNCTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING

STA	TE OF WASHINGTON	CONTRACT NO			
506 1 Olyn	t Legislative Audit and Review Committee 16 th Avenue SE mpia WA 98501-2323 0) 786-5171				
1.	THIS CONTRACT is made by and between the Review Committee and the firm of referred to as the "Contractor."				
2.	CONTRACTOR:				
	ADDRESS:				
	Federal Employer Identification No.:				
	Washington Uniform Business Identification No.:				
3.	SCOPE OF ENGAGEMENT				
	The Contractor will provide all services generally	described in Section II -			

The Contractor shall produce all oral and written reports described in the RFP, Proposal, and Work Plan. All oral and written work of the Contractor will be expected to be of a professional quality acceptable to the Joint Legislative Audit

Statement of Work of the attached Request for Proposal, hereinafter referred to as the "RFP," as described in the Contractor's proposal, hereinafter referred to as the "Proposal," and as described in detail in the work plan attached hereto as Exhibit _

and made a part of this contract, hereinafter referred to as the "Work Plan."

and Review Committee. In both written and oral presentations, the Contractor's findings and conclusions must be clearly documented as resulting from field work and data analysis done for this audit. Recommendations must be logically related to the findings and conclusions and must recognize practical and fiscal constraints.

4.	It is	agreed	that	Contractor's	management	staff	performing	work	under	this
	contract are as listed below:									

It is understood that staff named above will be responsible throughout the period of this contract. Any changes in management personnel assigned to this engagement require a written contract amendment.

- 5. Contractor warrants that staff performing work under this contract are free from personal and external impairments to independence.
- 6. Contractor warrants that staff performing work under this contract possess adequate professional proficiency for the tasks required.
- 7. If irregularities, fraud, or other significant audit issues which may impact the audit are suspected, the Contractor must immediately notify Robert Krell, Principal Management Auditor, (360) 786-5182.
- 8. All instances of noncompliance with state and local laws will be disclosed to Robert Krell, Principal Management Auditor, (360) 786-5182.
- 9. The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee's contract coordinator is Robert Krell, Principal Management Auditor, (360) 786-5182.

Contractor's contract coordinator is	-
(Name and Telephone)	

10. It is understood the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee's office is the principle auditing entity and all reports will be approved and issued jointly with the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee's office.

11. EFFECTIVE DATE AND DURATION OF CONTRACT

Subject to other contract provisions, the period of performance under this contract will be from date of signing through April 31, 1999, unless sooner terminated as provided herein.

12. All rights and obligations of the parties to this contract shall be subject to and governed by those Special Terms and Conditions contained in the text of this contract and the attached General Terms and Conditions, all hereby incorporated as part of this contract.

In the event of an inconsistency in this agreement, unless otherwise provided herein, the inconsistency shall be resolved by giving precedence in the following order:

- (a) Applicable federal and state statutes and regulations;
- (b) The terms and conditions of this contract;
- (c) Request for Proposal No. 98-01;
- (d) The Contractor's Proposal dated ______ to the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee's RFP, including all written information provided with the Contractor's Proposal;
- (e) The Contractor's final approved Work Plan;
- (f) Any other provisions of the contract whether incorporated by reference or otherwise.

13. <u>COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT</u>

The Contractor may submit invoices for progress billings at the completion of 25, 50, 75, and 100 percent of the audit work. The Contractor must demonstrate through progress reporting that the invoiced percentage of work is complete and must certify to this percentage of completion. The invoice shall show the name of the Contractor staff performing services under the contract and the hours worked. Each voucher will clearly indicate that it is for services rendered in performance under Contract No. 98-01.

All invoices must be submitted for payment to Robert Krell, Principal Management Auditor, 506 16th Avenue SE, Olympia, WA 98501-2323. Payment for each billing shall be made by the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee to the Firm after the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee has determined to its satisfaction that the progress of the project is satisfactory. This determination will include consideration of the progress of the project in comparison to the Work Plan. If the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee determines that the

Firm has not made satisfactory progress in accordance with the work plan, it may withhold payment until the necessary work is completed to its satisfaction. Payment shall be considered timely if made by the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee within 30 days. The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee may, in its sole discretion, withhold payments owed the Contractor for services rendered if the Contractor fails to satisfactorily comply with any term or condition of the contract.

Time is of the essence in delivering the reports required by this contract. If a report or reports satisfactory to the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee is/are not delivered by the date or dates specified in the RFP, the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee at its discretion may assess a penalty for delay. Such penalty shall not exceed five percent of the total contract amount for each month of delay. If the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee assesses such a penalty, the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee may withhold payment in the amount of the penalty.

- 14. The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee shall have the authority to monitor the performance of the Contractor, approve actions by the Contractor, and accept or reject any deliverable provided by the Contractor.
- 15. If any provision of this contract shall be deemed in conflict with any statute or rule of law, such provision shall be deemed modified to be in conformance with said statute or rule of law.
- 16. This contract contains all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the parties. No other understandings, oral or otherwise, regarding the subject matter of this contract shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties hereto.
- 17. This contract shall be subject to the written approval of the Legislative Auditor and shall not be binding until so approved. Only the Legislative Auditor shall have the express, implied, or apparent authority to alter, amend, or waive any clause or condition of this contract.

<u>IN WITNESS WHEREOF:</u> The Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee and the Contractor have signed this contract.

JOINT LEGISLATIVE A REVIEW COMMITTEE		CONTRACTOR			
Signature		Signature			
Title	Date	Title	Date		
APPROVED AS TO FOR	M:				
By:Assistant Attorney	General		Date		

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

<u>DEFINITIONS</u> -- As used throughout this contract, the following terms shall have the meaning set forth below:

- A. The "Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee," or "JLARC," shall mean the Washington State Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee, any division, section, office, unit, or other entity of JLARC or any of the officers or other officials lawfully representing the JLARC.
- B. "Legislative Auditor" shall mean the Legislative Auditor of JLARC, and/or the delegate authorized in writing to act on his/her behalf.
- C. "Contractor" shall mean that firm, provider, organization, individual, or other entity performing services under this contract, and shall include all employees of the Contractor.
- D. "Subcontractor" shall mean one not in the employment of the Contractor, who is performing all or part of those services under this contract under a separate contract with the Contractor. The terms "Subcontractor" and "Subcontractors" means Subcontractor(s) in any tier.

<u>CONTRACTOR NOT EMPLOYEE OF THE AGENCY</u> -- The Contractor and his or her employees or agents performing under this contract are not employees or agents of JLARC. The Contractor will not hold himself/herself out as or claim to be an officer or employee of JLARC or of the state of Washington by reason hereof, nor will the Contractor make any claim or right, privilege, or benefit which would accrue to an employee under Chapter 41.06. RCW or Chapter 28B.16 RCW.

<u>NONDISCRIMINATION</u> -- During the performance of this contract, the Contractor shall comply with all federal and state nondiscrimination laws, regulations, and policies.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH NONDISCRIMINATION LAWS -- In the event of the Contractor's noncompliance or refusal to comply with any nondiscrimination law, regulation, or policy, this contract may be rescinded, canceled, or terminated in whole or in part, and the Contractor may be declared ineligible for further contracts with JLARC. The Contractor shall, however, be given a reasonable time in which to cure this noncompliance. Any dispute may be resolved in accordance with the "Disputes" procedure set forth herein.

<u>SUBCONTRACTING</u> -- Neither the Contractor nor any Subcontractor shall enter into subcontracts for any of the work contemplated under this contract without obtaining prior written approval of the Legislative Auditor.

<u>INDEMNIFICATION</u> -- The contractor shall defend, protect, and hold harmless the state of Washington, JLARC, or any employees thereof, from and against all claims, suits or actions arising from the Contractor's acts which are libelous or slanderous, which result in

injury to persons or property, which violate a right of confidentiality, or which constitute an infringement of any copyright, patent, trademark, or trade name through use of reproduction of material of any kind.

<u>COVENANT AGAINST CONTINGENT FEES</u> -- The Contractor warrants that no person or selling agent has been employed or retained to solicit or secure this contract upon an agreement or understanding for a commission, percentage, brokerage, or contingent fee, excepting bona fide employees or bona fide established agent maintained by the Contractor for the purpose of securing business. JLARC shall have the right, in the event of breach of this clause by the Contractor, to annul this contract without liability or, in its discretion, to deduct from the contract price or consideration or recover by other means the full amount of such commission, percentage, brokerage, or contingent fee.

<u>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</u> -- JLARC may, by written notice to the Contractor, terminate this contract if it is found after due notice and examination by the Legislative Auditor that there is a violation of the *State Ethics Law Chapter 42.52 RCW*; or any similar statute involving the Contractor in the procurement of, or performance under, this contract.

In the event this contract is terminated as provided above, JLARC shall be entitled to pursue the same remedies against the Contractor as it could pursue in the event of a breach of the contract by the Contractor. The rights and remedies of JLARC provided for in this clause shall not be exclusive and are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law. The existence of facts upon which the Legislative Auditor makes any determination under this clause shall be an issue and may be reviewed as provided in the "Disputes" clause of this contract.

TREATMENT OF ASSETS --

- A. Title to all property furnished by JLARC shall remain in JLARC's office. Title to all property furnished by the Contractor, for the cost of which the Contractor is entitled to be reimbursed as a direct item of cost under this contract, shall pass to and vest in JLARC upon delivery of such property by the vendor. Title to other property, the cost of which is reimbursable to the Contractor under this contract, shall pass to and vest in JLARC upon (i) issuance for use of such property in the performance of this contract, or (ii) commencement of use of such property in the performance of this contract, or (iii) reimbursement of the cost thereof by JLARC in whole or in part, whichever first occurs.
- B. Any property of JLARC furnished to the Contractor shall, unless otherwise provided herein or approved by the Legislative Auditor, be used only for the performance of this contract.
- C. The Contractor shall be responsible for any loss or damage to property of JLARC which results from the negligence of the Contractor or which results from the failure on the part of the Contractor to maintain and administer that property in accordance with sound management practices.

- D. Upon loss or destruction of, or damage to, any auditees' or JLARC's property, the Contractor shall notify JLARC thereof and shall take all reasonable steps to protect that property from further damage.
- E. The Contractor shall surrender to JLARC all property of JLARC prior to settlement upon completion, termination, or cancellation of this contract.
- F. All reference to the Contractor under this clause shall also include Contractor's employees, agents, or Subcontractors.

<u>NONASSIGNABILITY</u> -- Neither this contract, nor any claim arising under this contract, shall be transferred or assigned by the Contractor.

RECORDS, DOCUMENTS, AND REPORTS -- The Contractor shall maintain books, records, documents, and other evidence of accounting procedures and practices which sufficiently and properly reflect all direct and indirect costs of any nature expended in the performance of this contract. These records shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection, review, or audit by personnel duly authorized by the Legislative Auditor, State Auditor, and federal officials so authorized by law, rule, regulation, or contract. The Contractor will retain all books, records, documents, and other materials relevant to this contract for six years after settlement and make them available for inspection by persons authorized under this provision.

<u>RIGHT OF INSPECTION</u> -- The Contractor shall provide right of access to its facilities to JLARC, or any of its officers, or to any other authorized agent or official of the state of Washington or the federal government at all reasonable times, in order to monitor and evaluate performance, compliance, and/or quality assurance under this contract.

<u>SAFEGUARDING OF INFORMATION</u> -- The use or disclosure by any party of any information concerning JLARC for any purpose not directly connected with the administration of JLARC or the Contractor's responsibilities with respect to services provided under this contract is prohibited except by written consent of the Legislative Auditor.

During the audit process the auditee will be given the opportunity to review and discuss the audit report for possible clarification before final release. Confidentiality will be maintained throughout the audit process.

<u>RIGHTS IN DATA</u> -- Unless otherwise provided, data which originates from this contract shall be "works for hire" as defined by the U.S. Copyright Act of 1976 and shall be owned by JLARC. Data shall include, but not be limited to: working papers, reports, documents, pamphlets, advertisements, books, magazines, surveys, studies, computer

programs, films, tapes, and/or sound reproductions. Ownership includes the right to copyright, patent, register, and the ability to transfer these rights.

Data which is delivered under the contract, but which does not originate therefrom, shall be transferred to JLARC with a nonexclusive, royalty-free, irrevocable license to publish, translate, reproduce, deliver, perform, dispose of, and to authorize others to do so; provided, that such license shall be limited to the extent which the Contractor has a right to grant such a license. The Contractor shall exert all reasonable effort to advise JLARC, at the time of delivery of data furnished under this contract, of all known or potential invasions of privacy contained therein and of any portion of such document which was not produced in the performance of this contract. JLARC shall receive prompt written notice of each notice of claim of copyright infringement received by the Contractor with respect to any data delivered under this contract. JLARC shall have the right to modify or remove any restrictive markings placed upon the data by the Contractor.

<u>REGISTRATION WITH DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE</u> -- The Contractor shall complete registration with the Department of Revenue, General Administration Building, Olympia, WA 98504, and be responsible for payment of all taxes due on payments made under this contract.

<u>LICENSING</u>, <u>ACCREDITATION</u>, <u>AND REGISTRATION</u> -- The Contractor shall comply with all applicable local, state and federal licensing, accreditation, and registration requirements/standards, necessary for the performance of this contract.

<u>INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE COVERAGE</u> -- The Contractor shall provide or purchase industrial insurance coverage prior to performing work under this contract. JLARC will not be responsible for payment of industrial insurance premiums or for any other claim or benefit for this Contractor, or any Subcontractor or employee of the Contractor, which might arise under the industrial insurance laws during performance of duties and services under this contract.

<u>ADVANCE PAYMENTS PROHIBITED</u> -- No payment in advance or in anticipation of services or supplies to be provided under this contract shall be made by JLARC.

<u>SAVINGS</u> -- In the event funding from state, federal, or other sources is withdrawn, reduced, or limited in any way after the effective date of this contract and prior to normal completion, JLARC may terminate the contract under the "Termination for Convenience" clause, without the five-day notice requirement, subject to renegotiation under those new funding limitations and conditions.

<u>LIMITATION OF AUTHORITY</u> -- Only the Legislative Auditor shall have the express, implied, or apparent authority to alter, amend, modify, or waive any clause or condition of this contract. Furthermore, any alteration, amendment, modification, or waiver of any clause or condition of this contract is not effective or binding unless made in writing and signed by the Legislative Auditor.

WAIVER OF DEFAULT -- Waiver of any default shall not be deemed to be a waiver of

any subsequent default. Waiver of breach of any provision of the contract shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent breach and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of the contract unless stated to be such in writing, signed by the Legislative Auditor and attached to the original contract.

CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS -- The Legislative Auditor may, at any time, by written notification to the Contractor and without notice to any known guarantor or surety, make changes in the general scope of the services to be performed under the contract. If any such changes cause an increase or decrease in the cost of, or the time required for the performance of this contract, an equitable adjustment may be made in the contract price or period of performance, or both, and the contract shall be modified in writing accordingly. Any claim by the Contractor for adjustment under this clause must be asserted within thirty (30) days from the date of Contractor's receipt of the notice of such change; *provided*, however, that the Legislative Auditor may, upon determining that the facts justify such action, receive and act upon such claim asserted at any time prior to final payment under this contract. Failure to agree to any adjustment shall be a dispute concerning a question of fact within the meaning of the clause of this contract entitled "Disputes." However, nothing in this clause shall excuse the Contractor from proceeding with the contract as changed.

<u>DISPUTES</u> – If a dispute should arise between the Contractor and JLARC regarding the performance or expected outcomes of the contract, such dispute shall be referred to the Legislative Auditor for review and decision. If the decision by the Legislative Auditor is not satisfactory to the Contractor, the Contractor may request in writing that the dispute be reviewed by the executive committee of JLARC. Such written request shall be provided to the Legislative Auditor within five (5) days following the Legislative Auditor's decision. The Legislative Auditor shall present the written request to the executive committee at its earliest convenience for review and decision. The decision of the executive committee shall become final and binding.

Unless mutually agreed to by the Legislative Auditor and the Contractor, the work to be performed under this agreement shall not be delayed or stopped during the review of a dispute either by the Legislative Auditor or the JLARC Executive Committee.

TERMINATION FOR DEFAULT -- By written notice, JLARC may terminate the contract, in whole or in part, for failure of the Contractor to perform any of the provisions hereof. In such event the Contractor shall be liable for damages as authorized by law including, but not limited to, any cost difference between the original contract and the replacement or cover contract and all administrative costs directly related to the replacement contract, e.g., cost of the mailing, advertising, and staff time; *provided*, that if (i) it is determined for any reason the Contractor was not in default, or (ii) the Contractor's failure to perform is without Contractor's and/or Subcontractor's control, fault or negligence, the termination shall be deemed to be a Termination for Convenience.

<u>TERMINATION FOR CONVENIENCE</u> -- Except as otherwise provided in this contract, the Legislative Auditor may, by five (5) days written notice, beginning on the second day after the mailing, terminate this contract in whole or in part when it is in the best interests of JLARC. If this contract is so terminated, JLARC shall be liable only for payment in accordance with the terms of this contract for services rendered prior to the effective date of termination.

<u>TERMINATION PROCEDURE</u> -- Upon termination of this contract JLARC, in addition to any other rights provided in this contract, may require the Contractor to deliver to JLARC any property specifically produced or acquired for the performance of such part of this contract as has been terminated. The provisions of the "Treatment of Assets" clause shall apply in such property transfer.

JLARC shall pay to the Contractor the agreed-upon price, if separately stated, for completed work and services accepted by JLARC, and the amount agreed upon by the Contractor and JLARC for (i) completed work and services for which no separate price is stated, (ii) partially completed work and services, (iii) other property or services which are accepted by JLARC, and (iv) the protection and preservation of property, unless the termination is for default, in which case the Legislative Auditor shall determine the extent of the liability of JLARC. Failure to agree with such determination shall be a dispute within the meaning of the "Disputes" clause of this contract. JLARC may withhold from any amounts due the Contractor such sum as the Legislative Auditor determines to be necessary to protect JLARC against potential loss or liability.

The rights and remedies of JLARC provided in this section shall not be exclusive and are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law or under this contract.

After receipt of a notice of termination, and except as otherwise directed by the Legislative Auditor, the Contractor shall:

- 1. Stop work under the contract on the date, and to the extent specified, in the notice;
- 2. Place no further orders or subcontracts for materials, services, or facilities except as may be necessary for completion of such portion of the work under the contract as is not terminated;
- 3. Assign to JLARC, in the manner, at the times, and to the extent directed by the Legislative Auditor, all of the rights, title, and interest of the Contractor under the orders and subcontracts so terminated, in which case JLARC has the right, at its discretion, to settle or pay any or all claims arising out of the termination of such orders and subcontracts;
- 4. Settle all outstanding liabilities and all claims arising out of such termination of orders and subcontracts, with the approval or ratification of the Legislative Auditor to the extent the Legislative Auditor may require,

which approval or ratification shall be final for all the purposes of this clause;

- 5. Transfer title to JLARC and deliver in the manner, at the times, and to the extent directed by the Legislative Auditor any property which, if the contract has been completed, would have been required to be furnished to JLARC;
- 6. Complete performance of such part of the work as shall not have been terminated by the Legislative Auditor; and
- 7. Take such action as may be necessary, or as the Legislative Auditor may direct, for the protection and preservation of the property related to this contract which is in the possession of the Contractor and in which JLARC has or may acquire an interest.

<u>GOVERNING LAW</u> -- This contract shall be governed by the laws of the state of Washington. In the event of a lawsuit involving this contract, venue shall be proper only in Thurston County. The Contractor, by execution of this contract, acknowledges the jurisdiction of the courts of the state of Washington in this matter.

<u>SEVERABILITY</u> -- If any provision of this contract or any provision of any document incorporated by reference shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the other provisions of this contract which can be given effect without the invalid provision, and to this end the provisions of this contract are declared to be severable.

<u>FORCE MAJEURE</u> -- Neither party shall be liable to the other or deemed in default under this contract for any delay or failure to perform its obligations under this contract if such delay or failure arises from any cause or causes beyond the reasonable control of the parties and without fault or negligence of the parties, including and not limited to acts of God, war, riots, strikes, fire, floods, earthquakes, epidemics, or other similar circumstances.

SCOPE OF CONTRACT -- This contract and the attachments incorporate all the contracts, covenants, and understandings between the parties concerning the subject matter, and all such covenants, agreements, and understandings have been merged into this contract. No prior contract or understandings, verbal or otherwise, of the parties or their agents shall be valid or enforceable unless embodied in this contract.

<u>CHANGE OF CONTROL OR PERSONNEL</u> -- Contractor shall promptly and in any case within twenty-four (24) hours notify the Legislative Auditor in writing:

1. If any of the representations and warranties of the Contractor set forth in this contract shall cease to be true at any time during the term of this contract;

- 2. Of any material change in the Contractor=s management staff;
- 3. Of any change in control of the Contractor or in the business structure of the Contractor; or
- 4. Of any other material change in the Contractor=s business, partnership, or corporate organization relating to this engagement. All written notices regarding changes in management staff shall contain the same information about newly assigned management staff as was requested by JLARC in the RFP and such additional information as may be requested by the JLARC office. For purposes hereof, the term Amanagement staff≅ shall mean those persons identified as senior management in any response to an RFP or who otherwise will exercise a major administrative role or major policy or consultant role to the provision of the Contractor=s services hereunder. All written notices regarding changes in control of the Contractor shall contain the same information about any new controlling entity as was requested by JLARC in the RFP regarding the Contractor and such additional information as may be requested by JLARC.

Approval of these changes rests solely with JLARC and will not be unreasonably withheld.

<u>PAYMENT OF TAXES</u> -- Contractor shall pay all applicable taxes assessed on the compensation received under this contract and shall identify and pay those taxes under Contractor=s federal and state identification number(s).